#### SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL

#### PENSION FUND BOARD

DATE: 14 FEBRUARY 2014

LEAD SHEILA LITTLE, CHIEF FINANCE OFFICER

**OFFICER:** 

SUBJECT: KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

# **SUMMARY OF ISSUE:**

In line with best practice, Pension Fund Board members will be supplied with Pension Fund key performance indicators (KPIs) on a quarterly basis, covering investment and administration practices.

### **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

It is recommended that:

1 The Pension Fund Board discuss and approve the KPI statement format as shown in Annex 1.

#### **REASON FOR RECOMMENDATIONS:**

To comply with best practice.

### **DETAILS:**

### Requirement

In line with best practice, future Pension Fund Board meetings will be supplied with a schedule of Pension Fund key performance indicators (KPIs), covering investment and administration practices.

## **Key Performance Indicators**

- 2 The KPIs cover the following areas:
  - Funding level
  - Death benefit administration
  - Retirement administration
  - Benefit statements
  - New joiners
  - Transfers in and out
  - Material posted on website
  - Employer and member satisfaction
  - Investment performance
  - Data quality
  - Contributions monitoring
  - Audit
  - Overall administration cost

- The KPI schedule is shown as Annex 1.
- 4 Periods covered in the schedule range from one month, three months and twelve months.
- 5 Members are invited to discuss the performances set out in the schedule.
- At the Board meeting of 15 November 2013, members asked as to the administration cost per member. The data below relates to total cost and administration cost per member for the 2012/13 financial year.

### **Compared to 52 Local Authority Pension Funds**

Total cost per scheme member

SCC £14.50 Average fund £21.42

Staff Administration cost per scheme member

SCC £6.05 Average fund £9.29

### Compared to 13 comparable sized funds

Total cost per scheme member

SCC £14.50 Average fund £18.50

Staff Administration cost per scheme member

SCC £6.05 Average fund £8.31

At the Board meeting of 15 November 2013, members indicated that some of the targets needed adjustment as a result of the apparent ease of their achievement. Officers have assessed the targets and made changes as appropriate. These are indicated where relevant.

#### **CONSULTATION:**

The Chairman of the Pension Fund has been consulted on the proposed change and has offered full support regarding the content and structure of the information.

### **RISK MANAGEMENT AND IMPLICATIONS:**

9 There are no risk related issues contained within the report.

### FINANCIAL AND VALUE FOR MONEY IMPLICATIONS

10 There are no financial and value for money implications.

### **CHIEF FINANCE OFFICER COMMENTARY**

The Chief Finance Officer is satisfied that all material, financial and business issues and possibility of risks have been considered and addressed and that the proposed KPI model offers an effective framework for the monitoring of the essential pension fund KPIs.

# **LEGAL IMPLICATIONS – MONITORING OFFICER**

There are no legal implications or legislative requirements associated with this report.

# **EQUALITIES AND DIVERSITY**

The reporting of such information will not require an equality analysis, as the initiative is not a major policy, project or function being created or changed.

# OTHER IMPLICATIONS

14 There are no potential implications for council priorities and policy areas.

# **WHAT HAPPENS NEXT**

- 15 The following next steps are planned:
  - Continued improvement in the indicators.
  - Further refinement and additions of useful data.

#### **Contact Officer:**

Phil Triggs, Strategic Finance Manager (Pension Fund and Treasury)

#### Consulted:

Pension Fund Board Chairman.

#### **Annexes:**

Schedule of Key Performance Indicators

# Sources/background papers:

None

This page is intentionally left blank